



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P O Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/577,231	05/23/2000	Lundy Lewis	019287-0317297	3634
909	7590	10/30/2009	EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP			ENGLAND, DAVID E	
P.O. BOX 10500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA 22102			2443	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/30/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/577,231	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEWIS, LUNDY
	<b>Examiner</b> DAVID E. ENGLAND	<b>Art Unit</b> 2443

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** Amendment after Non-Final(1) DAVID E. ENGLAND.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Syed Jafar Ali Reg. No. 58780.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 October 2009**Time:** 10:30 am**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

4,13,27,49

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David E. England/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2443

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted the Attorney of record to discuss the claims and possible amendments to the claims to have them allowed. Examiner stated that claim 4 was not allowable but claims 13, 27 and 49 would be allowable if they applied a small amendment. The final paragraph/limitation has an "or" statement which could be interpreted as an alternative instead of both, i.e., in the specification it states that the invention looks at both domain information, the "or" statement would leave one to interpret that only one would have to be domain would have to be looked at. The Attorney of record stated that they could break up the limitation to determine which domain and the identifying at least one in that domain to have caused the problem. Examiner awaits the Attorney's claim draft to finalize the amendment. Furthermore, a terminal disclaimer would have to be filed so Double patenting rejections can be avoided with applications 09/577232, 09577224 and patent 7600007.. .